	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/082,127	IDEHARA, TAKENORI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dov Popovici	2625	
	Dov Popovici	2025	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comr IGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course. THI	IS iative
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the RCE request and</u>	I the amendment filed on 0	<u>8/11/2008</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 60-63, renumbered as claims	<u>1-4</u> .		
3. ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority uses a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have) or (f).	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have		ion No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receiv	ed in this national stage application from the	е
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		- '	
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		le a reply complying with the requirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	st be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Revi	ew (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner'Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment	or in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MA FOR THE DEPOSIT OF E	TERIAL must be submitted. Note the IOLOGICAL MATERIAL.	
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413),	
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Paper No	o./Mail Date <u>20080825</u> . s Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date <u>08/11/2008</u>			
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner 9. ☐ Other	s Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
/Dov Popovici/	9. [] Otilei	·	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625			
•			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/11/2008 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 60-63 are, drawn to displaying, when any function has not yet been selected, on the layout image icons of the plurality of input-output apparatuses representing the functions of the plurality of the input-output apparatuses, while, when the one function has been selected, displaying on the layout image icons of the selected functions to represent only the input-output apparatuses that have the selected functions; and assigning a selected one of the input-output apparatuses that are represented on the layout image by the icons as an input or output apparatus for a job, classified in class 358, subclass 1.15.

- II. Claims 64-66 are, drawn to displaying a layout image of the plurality of input-output apparatuses; selectably displaying on the layout image names of users of the input-output apparatuses at the locations of the layout image that respectively correspond to actual locations of the users; selectably displaying on the layout image only the input-output apparatuses that relate to the selected user; assigning selected one of the displayed input-output apparatuses as an input or output apparatus for a job, classified in class 358, subclass 1.13.
- III. Claims 67-72 are, drawn to (a) determining whether the image forming apparatus is capable of carrying out a job; (b) displaying icons on a layout image, the icons being for output apparatuses that are able to substitute for function(s) of the image forming apparatus to execute the job; (c) automatically selecting an output apparatus that substitutes the functions(s) of the image forming apparatus for the job; (d) distinguishably displaying the icons of the selected output apparatus from the icons of remaining ones of the output apparatuses on the layout image; and (e) allowing a user to select one of the icons corresponding to the remaining ones of the output apparatuses to alter the selected output apparatus; and (f) assigning the selected one of the output apparatuses as a substitution of the image forming apparatus, classified in class 358, subclass 1.14.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as displaying on the layout image icons of the selected functions to represent only the input-output apparatuses that have the selected functions, subcombination II has separate utility such as displaying on the layout image names of users of the input-output apparatus at the locations of the layout image that respectively correspond to actual locations of the users and selectably displaying on the layout image only the input-output apparatuses that relate to the selected user, while subcombination III has separate utility such as determining whether the image forming apparatus is capable of carrying out a job; displaying icons on a layout image, the icons being for output apparatuses that are able to substitute for function(s) of the image forming apparatus to execute the job; automatically selecting an output apparatus that substitutes the functions(s) of the image forming apparatus for the job; distinguishably displaying the icons of the selected output apparatus from the icons of remaining ones of the output apparatuses on the layout image; and allowing a user to select one of the icons corresponding to the remaining ones of the output apparatuses to alter the selected output apparatus; and assigning the selected one of the output apparatuses as a substitution of the image forming apparatus. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Page 6

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Douglas A. Sorensen (Reg. # 31,570) on 8/22/2008 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 60-63. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 64-72 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Douglas A. Sorensen (Reg. # 31,570) on 8/26/2008.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the abstract:

In the abstract, line 4, change "means" to --unit--.

In the claims:

Please cancel non-elected claims 64-72.

In claim 60, line 1, after "readable", delete "recording".

In claim 60, line 1, before "program", insert --computer--.

In claim 60, line 4, before "program", insert --computer--.

In claim 61, line 1, after "readable", delete "recording".

In claim 61, line 2, before "program", insert --computer--.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art of record, namely, Blair et al. (U.S. Patent 5,809,265), Sugiyama et al. (U.S. Patent 5,996,029) and/or Jackson et al "Methodology for Automated Printed Selection" IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 36, no. 09B (September 1993) pp. 379, do not disclose, teach or suggest, displaying, when any function has not yet been selected, on the layout image icons of the plurality of input-output apparatuses representing the functions of the plurality of the input-output apparatuses, while, when the one function has been selected, displaying on the layout image icons of the selected functions to represent only the input-output apparatuses that have the selected functions, as claimed in independent claim 60.

Independent claims 62-63 recite the same or similar claimed limitations, and are therefore found to be allowable for the same or similar reasons.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dov Popovici whose telephone number is 571-272-4083. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dov Popovici Primary Examiner Art Unit 2625 Page 10

/Dov Popovici/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625